



BOROUGH OF AMBLER

COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA

October 20, 2015

7:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER: Mr. Sal Pasceri

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Mayor Jeanne Sorg

ROLL CALL: Ms. Mary Aversa

MINUTES APPROVED
September 15, 2015

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

PUBLIC SAFETY
PARKS & RECREATION
PUBLIC UTILITIES
FINANCE & PLANNING
SALARY & PERSONNEL

Public Safety Committee

The Committee Meeting was held on October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Borough Council Chambers located at 131 Rosemary Avenue. Committee members: Edward Curtis (Chair), Sharon Mc Cormick, Jonathan Sheward. Absent: Karen Polesir, Sharon Mc Cormick and Claudio Zaccone.

The Committee will consider the following recommendations.

1. The Radio request form was submitted for the purchase of emergency services. (Police- Fire Company- Ambulance). Authorization is requested to sign required documents and participate in the County interest free funding program.

The following business will be discussed.

1. The Police Department report is **attached**.
2. The Fire Department report will be provided.
3. The Community Ambulance report will be provided.
4. The Public Works report and Code Enforcement reports were received.

Parks and Recreation Committee

The Committee Meeting was held on October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Borough Council Chambers located at 131 Rosemary Avenue Committee Members: Frank DeRuosi (Chair), Nancy Deininger, Sharon Mc Cormick and Karen Polesir. Absent: Karen Polesir, Sharon Mc Cormick and Claudio Zaccone.

The Committee will make no recommendations.

The following business will be discussed.

1. The survey results are being discussed. The Environmental Advisory Council has reviewed the proposals for invasive maintenance in Borough Park and will contract with Redtail for the Borough work.
2. The Park Rules will be revised to allow dogs in Borough Park if they are Leashed.
3. Liz Kunzier provided an update on Main Street events.

Public Utilities Committee

The Committee Meeting was held on October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Borough Council Chambers located at 131 Rosemary Avenue Committee Members: Peter Amento (Chair), Claudio Zaccone, Edward Curtis. Absent: Karen Polesir, Sharon Mc Cormick and Claudio Zaccone.

The Committee will consider the following recommendation.

1. A recommendation to approve the agreement to permit Whitpain to place radio antenna(s) and equipment on and in the Tower at Houston Road is requested.
2. The MS4 (Municipal Stormwater) Total Maximum Daily Load Strategy, DEP Review is **attached**. It was submitted as part of the MS4 I in September 2012. The deadline to respond is 12/31. The response requires the Borough accept written comments during a 30-day public comment period and verbal and written comments at a public meeting. We are requesting authorization to advertise the public comment period and a public meeting date, which will be one of the November Council meetings. The strategy will be done by 10/24, and will be provided.

The following business will be discussed.

1. The Waste Water Treatment Plant Supervisor's report and the Engineer's report were provided.

Recommended at Committee Meeting

JOHNS LANE PIPELINE PROJECT

1. A recommendation was received to award the John's Lane Pipeline project to Passerini & Son's for their low bid of \$42,282.50. (6-Aye)

Mary Aversa

Subject: FW: TMDL response 30 day public comment period
Attachments: 2015-02-26-PADEP TMDL review.pdf

From: Jim Dougherty [<mailto:JDOUGHERTY@gilmore-assoc.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2015 11:47 AM
To: Mary Aversa
Cc: 'Elizabeth Russell'
Subject: RE: TMDL response 30 day public comment period

This will only be the MS4 TMDL Strategy document. It was submitted as part of the MS4 NOI in September 2012. The DEP issued the attached comment letter in February. Deadline to respond is 12/31. The response requires the Borough accept written comments during a 30-day public comment period and verbal and written comments at a public meeting. We are requesting authorization to advertise the public comment period and a public meeting date, which will be one of the November Council meetings.

I The strategy will be done by 10/24.

The Strategy will include the amount of pollutants (pounds of sediment) the Borough needs to remove from the MS4 and BMPs to be installed to achieve the pollutant reduction.

This is not the draft Phosphorous TMDL or the Alternate TMDL.

From: Jim Dougherty [<mailto:JDOUGHERTY@gilmore-assoc.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2015 10:39 AM
To: Mary Aversa (maversa@borough.ambler.pa.us)
Cc: Elizabeth Russell (erussell@borough.ambler.pa.us)
Subject: TMDL response 30 day public comment period

Mary,

Can you include authorization to advertise the 30 day public comment period on the upcoming Council agenda? I won't have the response completed by Tuesday but plan to have it soon after that.

The deadline to submit to DEP is 12/31. I plan to have everything completed, including the public comment period, before that so we are done before the holidays.

The other stormwater project that needs to be completed is the new ordinance per the Wissahickon Act 167 plan. The ordinance needs to be in place by mid-January. I plan to have this ready to go in December. I will have a draft completed after the TMDL response.

Thanks,
Jim



James P. Dougherty, P.E., Senior Project Manager
Gilmore & Associates, Inc.



pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION

03-0122

February 26, 2015

Ms. Mary Aversa, Manager
Ambler Borough
122 East Butler Avenue
Ambler, PA 19002

MAR - 3 2015

Re: Review of MS4 TMDL Strategy
Ambler Borough MS4
NPDES Application No. PAG130036
Ambler Borough, Montgomery County

Dear Ms. Aversa:

The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) received your Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under the PAG-13 MS4 NPDES General Permit on September 13, 2012. Your NOI contained a Wissahickon Creek Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Strategy. DEP notes deficiencies with your TMDL Strategy below.

MS4 Area of Responsibility:

It appears that the Strategy does not correctly apply the definition of Municipal Separate Storm Sewer in its identification of the area that is an MS4's responsibility. MS4s are responsible for the stormwater that enters the permittee-owned or operated Municipal Separate Storm Sewer (MS3), regardless of property ownership, with the exception of property covered under a separate NPDES permit for stormwater discharges. The MS4's area of responsibility is not limited to the 107 acres of Borough owned properties and rights-of-way, but includes all areas in the Borough where stormwater runoff could flow into Borough owned/operated separate storm sewers.

Parsing is an optional process where land areas within the 0.8 square mile area of the municipality which do not drain into the MS3 can be parsed out of the Wasteload Allocation (WLA). If the Borough decides to pursue this option, in order to be approved, a proposal to parse must be supported by detailed mapping and calculations. The calculations can be simple, using the relative percent of land served and not served by the MS4, or the relative percent of impervious surface in the area served and not served. The calculations can also be based on a more sophisticated effort which takes into account the respective land uses in the two areas. Please note that future changes to the MS4 urbanized area or other factors may require a new parsing effort. Although parsing may reduce the land area of MS4 responsibility, the overall 55% load reduction required by this TMDL cannot be reduced. Additionally, please be aware that, if parsing is used, reductions may not be claimed for BMPs located in parsed out areas.

Analysis of Alternative BMPs:

The Strategy includes only a proposal for street sweeping, with a reference that structural BMPs will be analyzed at a later date. As noted above, the Strategy must address the entire TMDL allocation in the MS4's area of responsibility; therefore, additional control measures will be needed and must be evaluated and proposed. The Strategy should describe in general the source(s) of sediment and provide an explanation of the types of BMPs that are believed to be appropriate to control the source(s).

The discussion of BMP types should consider locally relevant factors such as land use, percent impervious cover, rate of development/redevelopment, geology, soils, topography and land ownership. Included should be a logical process in which opportunities for load reductions will be pursued, with estimates of the type and general location of controls that will be implemented. Consideration should be given to the TMDL Control Measures identified in the instructions to the PAG-13 NOI.

DEP recognizes that the original Wissahickon TMDL attributed roughly 81% of the Borough's generated sediment load to overland flow and only 19% to streambank/instream erosion. However, while runoff from urban areas carries significant sediment loads, sediment loads attributed to streambank/instream erosion are considered to be the largest contributors of sediment to the Wissahickon Creek. The primary cause of the siltation impairment is flow variability associated with urban runoff. DEP recommends combining the stream flow and overland flow components in the TMDL and considering structural and non-structural BMPs that will achieve an overall reduction target of 54.6%. The Borough's references in the Strategy to the combined required reduction are appropriate.

Claimed reductions of pollutants of concern for selected BMPs must be supported by the Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual or other credible sources. Please note that pollutant reductions estimated by supporting documentation must correlate to the TMDL pollutant of concern (i.e. TSS does not correlate directly to sediment). For example, to correctly identify the amount of 'sediment' removed via street sweeping requires a consideration of the pre-TMDL street sweeping and inlet cleaning program (if any), as well as a determination of the fraction of sediment that is collected in the swept debris. Any program in place prior to the impairment was already considered in the stream assessment and cannot now be counted as 'reductions'. Also, consideration should be given to the frequency of the cleaning and the type of equipment used and its ability to collect 'sediment'.

Plan for Achieving Ultimate TMDL Obligations/ TMDL Compliance Date:

The TMDL Strategy must describe, in general, the BMPs that will be used to achieve the TMDL-required pollutant loading reductions. The total claimed reductions must equal or exceed the required total reduction. Claimed reductions for selected BMPs must be supported by the Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual or other credible sources.

~~The Borough needs to address the total required reductions in the TMDL (not solely Borough-owned property). As street sweeping is the only BMP proposed, the Borough will need to be~~

more aggressive in identifying additional measures that will achieve the target reductions of the TMDL.

Ordinance Review:

Permittees are encouraged but not required to evaluate the current stormwater ordinance, the subdivision and land development ordinance and the zoning ordinance. The purpose is to identify inconsistencies which would restrict the implementation of green stormwater management. At the permittee's discretion, ordinances may also include requirements which result in additional pollution controls.

Net Reductions for BMPs under Chapter 102:

Claimed reductions of pollutants of concern must be supported by the Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual or other credible sources. For example, documented load reductions from BMPs installed as a result of development/redevelopment may have a net reduction which can be credited if pre-development pollutant loads are greater than post-development pollutant loads.

Operation & Maintenance:

Please note that the Strategy should address operation and maintenance plans for existing BMPs for which you are claiming credit, and the Design Details should explain how appropriate operation and maintenance will be assured for each proposed BMP.

Public Participation and Involvement:

DEP is requiring that you take measures to solicit public involvement and participation for your revised TMDL Strategy to satisfy MCM-2. The required measures are as follows:

- Make a complete copy of the Strategy available for public review.
- Publish, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area, a public notice containing a statement describing the Strategy, where it may be reviewed by the public, and the length of time the permittee will provide for the receipt of comments.
- Accept written comments for a minimum of 30 days from the date of public notice.
- Accept any verbal or written comments from any interested member of the public at a public meeting or hearing.
- Consider and make a record of the consideration of each timely comment received from the public during the public comment period concerning the Strategy, identifying any changes made to the Strategy in response to the comment.

In your resubmission of the TMDL Strategy to DEP, please include a copy of the newspaper notice, a copy of all written comments received from the public and a copy of the permittee's record of consideration of all timely comments received in the public comment period.

DEP has recently updated its Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) relating to the review of TMDL Strategies. All MS4s are invited to review the SOPs, which may assist in understanding what DEP is seeking in the submission of revised TMDL Strategies. To review the SOPs please visit DEP's website at www.depweb.state.pa.us, click on Program Integration, and then Standard Operating Procedures. Open the SOPs applicable to MS4s under the section for the Bureau of Point and Non-Point Source Management (see Section IV in the SOPs).

DEP wishes to clarify the issue of your current permit coverage. The PAG-13 General NPDES Permit that was originally issued in 2003, was administratively extended multiple times. Between 2008 and 2013, your MS4 operated under the administratively extended General Permit. On March 16, 2013, a new General Permit became effective. If you submitted a timely NOI, you are currently operating under the new PAG-13 General Permit. The General Permit is available on DEP's website (visit www.elibrary.dep.state.pa.us, select "Permit and Authorization Packages", "Point and Non-Point Source Management", "National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System – NPDES", "Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems – MS4s", and "PAG-13 General Permit"). While you must comply with the terms and conditions set forth in the new General Permit, you are not required to develop the "TMDL Design Details" portion of the overall TMDL Plan unless and until DEP approves your TMDL Strategy.

Please be advised that DEP is currently planning for the subsequent permit term. At this time DEP is proposing that MS4s subject to wasteload allocations (WLAs) in a TMDL for nutrient and/or sediment will need to develop and submit an integrated TMDL Plan with an individual permit application for the subsequent permit term. For many MS4s the individual permit application will be due in September 2017. DEP is sharing this information with you now to help you prepare for work that may be necessary over the next two years. TMDL Plans would not be necessary where WLAs are assigned for pollutants other than nutrients and/or sediment. Please check DEP's website at www.depweb.state.pa.us/MS4 for additional updates in 2015.

Please be advised that the Department is hosting free MS4 training sessions across the state to help municipalities and other permittees comply with NPDES requirements. You are encouraged to attend this training. Discussion regarding the BMP selection will be included in the TMDL Plan Training. The details regarding the training can be found on DEP's website at www.depweb.state.pa.us/MS4

A resubmission of your TMDL Strategy addressing the issues identified in this letter is due no later than **December 31, 2015**. Please provide one original and two copies of the revised Strategy.

Ms. Aversa

- 5 -

February 26, 2015

If you have any questions concerning this notice, or would like to schedule a meeting, please contact Laurel Ateyeh at 484.250.5198 or lateyeh@pa.gov.

Sincerely,



Jennifer L. Fields, P.E.
Clean Water Program Manager
Southeast Regional Office

cc: Mr. Dougherty, P.E. – Gilmore & Associates, Inc.
Mr. Patel, P.E. – Chief, Permits Section
Mr. O'Neil – Chief, Operations Section
Mr. Breitenstein
Mr. Gaul - DEP Central Office
File

Finance and Planning Committee

The Committee Meeting was held on October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Borough Council Chambers located at 131 Rosemary Avenue Committee Members: Jonathan Sheward, (Chair) Frank DeRuosi and Nancy Deininger. Absent: Karen Polesir, Sharon Mc Cormick and Claudio Zaccone.

The Committee will consider the following recommendation.

1. That the September 2015 invoices be paid as follows:

#	Fund	PRE PAID SEPTEMBER	SEPT 1ST RUN	SEPT 2ND RUN	TOTALS
1	GENERAL	\$ 9,705.04	\$107,362.20	\$159,083.95	\$276,151.19
2	STREET LGTS		\$4,882.29	\$5,086.24	\$9,968.53
3	FIRE	\$ 44,647.09		\$4,964.19	\$49,611.28
5	PARKS & REC		\$148.94	\$2,141.47	\$2,290.41
6	WATER	\$ 785.45	\$22,251.70	\$71,651.44	\$94,688.59
8	SEWER		\$5,292.07	\$125,446.15	\$130,738.22
9	WWTP		\$94,775.58	\$229,876.12	\$324,651.70
23	DEBT FUND				\$0.00
30	WATER CAPITAL		\$11,270.30	\$2,860.00	\$14,130.30
35	LIQUID FUELS		\$18,652.96	\$126,347.45	\$145,000.41
TOTALS		\$ 55,137.58	\$264,636.04	\$727,457.01	\$1,047,230.63
VOID CHECKS			\$0.00		\$0.00
GRAND TOTAL		\$ 55,137.58	\$ 264,636.04	\$ 727,457.01	\$ 1,047,230.63

1. The Preliminary / Final Subdivision Plan for PFP Management, LLC - 368 Fairview Avenue proposed 3 lots was received. Authorization to approve is requested, with the condition that all of the Engineer requirements are met and that the sidewalk installation be discussed after an opinion is received from PennDot.

The following business will be discussed.

1. The Borough Engineer's report was received.
2. The Borough Planning Commission has reviewed the Parking Ordinance and provided draft language for consideration. This will be discussed in November.
3. The Zoning Amendment Ordinance for Auto Sales as a permitted use will be advertised for adoption and a hearing will be scheduled.
4. A presentation will be made by Eric Jarrell and Lauren Van Dyk from the Montgomery County Planning Commission regarding "MONTCO2040 – The County Comprehensive Plan."
5. Citations were prepared for Zion Baptist Church for their 120th Anniversary and Ambler Church of The Brethren for their 175th Anniversary.
6. Representatives from Bernstein will attend the November Council meeting to discuss the pension plans.
7. The proposed Rose Valley Creek Conservation District Ordinances are **attached** for review. A presentation will be provided by Code Enforcement Officer Glenn Kucher and County Staff.
8. The Borough Minimum Municipal Obligation Statements were presented to Borough Council at the September 15 meeting.

Borough Of Ambler

131 ROSEMARY AVENUE
AMBLER, PENNSYLVANIA 19002-4476

PHONE 215-646-1000
FAX 215-641-1355 ADMINISTRATION
FAX 215-641-1921 WATER DEPARTMENT
WEBSITE: www.ambler.pa.us



Memorandum

To: Ambler Borough Council
Mary Aversa, Borough Manager

From: Glenn Kucher, Code Enforcement Officer

Date: October 16, 2015

Re: Rose Valley Creek Conservation District Comparison Table

Attached is side-by-side comparison of the Borough's existing environmental regulations (present floodplain ordinance), proposed draft updated floodplain ordinance, draft riparian buffer ordinances, and draft steep slope ordinances. This comparison shows the affected areas, uses permitted by right, special exception, conditional use, and prohibited uses.

Please note that these comparisons are based on the August drafts of the Riparian Buffer and Steep Slope Ordinances that were provided prior to the October committee. The Borough Planning Commission is presently working on the updated Floodplain Ordinance language.

The affected areas are highlighted on maps provided earlier this month. The Montgomery County Planning Commission will be making a presentation on October 20th going over in more detail these Ordinances and the advantage to having such Ordinances in place.

Feel free to contact me with any questions or comments. Thank you for your input and assistance with this matter.

Ambler District					
Requirements	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015		Steep Slope draft: August 2015
Applicability	all areas within the defined area	all areas within the defined area	(1) land adjacent to streams, wetlands, waterbodies (not manmade) (2a) submission of land development or subdivision plan (2b) submission of building permit for new or expanded structure within 50 ft of bank of mapped stream		all areas within the defined area, not entire lot
Exemptions	[none]	[none]	properties with legal structures not doing 2a or 2b above land within 50 ft of a stream and separated from the stream by a road		
Defined Area	area subject to 100 yr flood, according to FIS and FIRMS	Special Flood Hazard Areas through FIS and FIRMS (100 yr flood), soils of .1% annual frequency of flooding, community identified flood areas	Zone 1 plus Zone 2 = 50 ft total from 2 yr storm line, or as adjusted Zone 1 = 25 ft from 2 yr storm line Zone 2 = 25 ft from Zone 1		areas with slope > 25% based on site survey by applicant's engineer, using 3 contiguous 2-ft contours
Area Adjustments		allowed, if approved by FEMA	lots of 1 acre or less: 15'	lots of 1 acre or less: 15' if existing bldg within 50' of 2 yr storm line: 0'	
% of land allowed to disturb					10%
Permitted Uses			Zone 1 (25' or 15')	Zone 2 (25', 15', or 0')	
	wildlife sanctuary/ arboretum/preserve	wildlife sanctuary/ arboretum/preserve	wildlife sanctuaries, preserves, public/private park		wildlife sanctuaries, preserves, public/private park
	forestry, reforestation	forestry, reforestation	reforestation streambank stabilization		reforestation game farm or hunting preserve
	non-structural accessory uses, except pools	non-structural accessory uses, except pools			
	[roads, driveways, parking allowed by Special Exception]	[roads, driveways, parking allowed by Special Exception]	roads, trails, railroads, utilities that meet mitigation requirements and design standards		
			Maintenance of riparian buffer through removal debris, trash, etc.		
	pasture animals and crops with soil conservation restrictions	pasture animals and crops with soil conservation restrictions	Agricultural uses in compliance with EPA erosion manual		
	Nursery/orchard setback yards	Nursery/orchard setback yards	front, side, rear yard setbacks		front, side, rear yard setbacks
	Recreational uses (hiking, biking, bridle trails, camps, picnic areas, but excluding enclosed structures)	Recreational uses (hiking, biking, bridle trails, camps, picnic areas, but excluding enclosed structures)	Passive use (picnic, camp)		
			active recreation (ballfields, playgrounds, non-paved courts) provided designed not to concentrate stormwater flow		

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
	[sanitary sewers, transmission lines allowed by Special Exception]	[sanitary sewers, transmission lines allowed by Special Exception]	Centralized sewer, water, transmission lines, but as far from Zone 1 as possible	
	[fences allowed by Special Exception]	[fences allowed by Special Exception]	Fencing in compliance with the floodplain district requirements	
Permitted Uses in certain conditions	new construction when > 50 feet from top of bank and will not increase 100 yr flood > 1 foot	new construction when > 50 feet from top of bank and will not increase 100 yr flood > 1 foot		Underground utilities, with special requirements regarding the loss or degradation of soils and vegetation.
	new construction when < 50 feet from top of bank, then DEP permit required	new construction when < 50 feet from top of bank, then DEP permit required		sealed public water wells
Permitted Uses in certain conditions		in AE Zones (almost all of FD in Ambler) or A Zones: new or substantial construction with lowest floor, including basement, above flood elevation or specially designed		Sanitary or storm sewers, stormwater detention basins
		any non-residential structure shall be waterproffed below the flood elevation		above ground utility transmission lines and above ground utility line structures
Permitted Uses in certain conditions		fully enclosed spaces below the lowest floor (for parking, incidental storage, crawlspace, etc.) designed to allow flow of floodwaters		
		accessory buildings: no human habitation; <600 sf; other restrictions	Residential accessory bldg. <225 sq. ft. permitted under certain conditions	
		fill: compacted; sloped no greater than 1 vert in 2 horiz; no adverse affect on neighbors		
		storm drainage to prevent excess runoff onto neighbors		

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
		water, san sewer facilities: minimize flood damage and infiltration; prevent untreated sewage into floodwater; locate onsite sewer systems to avoid impairment or contamination during flood		
		other utilities (gas lines, electrical, telephone systems): located and constructed to avoid impairment during flood		
		new streets: < 1 feet below flood elevation	crossings for driveways that meet design standards (min. width, right angles, bridges instead of culverts)	
		storage: buoyant, flammable, explosive items stored above flood elevation		
		building placement: to be minimum obstruction to flow of floodwater		
		req'ts for anchoring, floor and wall materials, paints, adhesives, electrical components, water heaters, furnaces, a/c ; etc., fuel supply systems		
Uses Permitted by Special Exception	No use may increase the elevation of the 50-year flood by more than 1 ft	No use may increase the elevation of the 50-year flood by more than 1 ft		
	Recreational uses (parks, camps, picnic areas, golf, fishing, sport club, private membership use), but no enclosed structures except bathrooms	Recreational uses (parks, camps, picnic areas, golf, fishing, sport club, private membership use), but no enclosed structures except bathrooms		
	sewage treatment plant, their outlets, pumping stations - with restrictions	sewage treatment plant, their outlets, pumping stations - with restrictions		
	Sealed public water supply	Sealed public water supply		
	dams, culverts, bridges	dams, culverts, bridges		

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
	sanitary or storm sewers, [detention] basins	sanitary or storm sewers, [detention] basins		
	roads, driveways, parking, unless there is a reasonable alternative	roads, driveways, parking, unless there is a reasonable alternative		
	Grading or regrading, including deposit of soils	Grading or regrading, including deposit of soils / streambank stabilization		
	Utility transmission lines	Utility transmission lines		
	fences that will not impede floodwater flow and debris	fences that will not impede floodwater flow and debris		
	filling or removal of topsoil	filling or removal of topsoil		
Prohibited Uses				Prohibitive Slope 25%+
	private water supply	private water supply		
	Freestanding structures, retaining walls, unless approved	Freestanding structures, retaining walls, unless approved		
	onsite sewage disposal systems	onsite sewage disposal systems	onsite sewage disposal systems	onsite sewage disposal systems
	sanitary landfills, dumps, junkyards, outdoor storage which have toxic chemicals	sanitary landfills, dumps, junkyards, outdoor storage which have toxic chemicals	sanitary landfills, dumps, junkyards, outdoor storage which have toxic chemicals	Solid waste disposal, recycling, junk yards, outdoor storage
	stripping of topsoil, vegetation removal within 20 feet of stream bank <u>if within a floodway</u>	stripping of topsoil, vegetation removal within 20 feet of stream bank <u>if within a floodway</u>	clearing of vegetation (unless necessary for permitted construction and if mitigated)	
	[filling or removal of topsoil by Special Exception]	[filling or removal of topsoil by Special Exception]		
	relocation of watercourse, unless approved by PADEP and council	relocation of watercourse, unless approved by PADEP and council		
				extractive use [quarry]

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
	flood retention dams or culverts, or bridges unless approved by DEP and council	flood retention dams or culverts, or bridges unless approved by DEP and council	stormwater detention basin, berms, outfalls	
	construction of mobile home, hospital, jail, etc.	construction of mobile home, hospital, jail, etc.	sod farming	
			use of fertilizers/pesticides/ herbicides outside of recommended standards	
		no expansion of existing structure within any floodway area, or AE Area with floodway, that would increase base flood elevation 1.5 feet	permanent structure, unless for permitted use above	
			roads, driveways except as permitted above	
			vehicle traffic, where not designed to accommodate	
			parking lots	
		development which may endanger human life (such as those for production or storage of hazardous materials)		
			Orchard/Nursery	
Site Plan Submission (* = as requested)	plan by registered engineer with proposed and existing uses	plan by registered engineer with proposed and existing uses		site plan by registered engineer; grading plan
				existing and proposed waterbodies
				existing natural and topographic features, including trees >8" DBH; other vegetation including meadow, forest and scrub land
		utilities designed to prevent water entry and accumulation		
		utilities designed to minimize or eliminate flood damage		
		proposals consistent to minimize flood damage		
		adequate drainage to reduce flood hazards		hydrology, drainage, flooding analysis and effect of proposal on water features

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
Site Plan Submission (* = as requested)	fill, construction, storage, follows building code and subdivision and land development	fill, construction, storage, follows building code and subdivision and land development		
		structures anchored		
		materials are flood resistant		methods to control soil erosion, sedimentation, soil loss, excessive stormwater runoff
				stability of soils on site, appropriateness of construction methods, stability of soils after construction
				underlying geology
		subdivisions >5 acres or >50 lots with no flood data shall have flood levels determined by an engineer		
		special req'ts for mobile homes		
		special req'ts for RVs		
	application for Special Exceptions to Zoning Hearing Board	application for Special Exceptions to Zoning Hearing Board		
	*plan showing contours, existing and proposed structures, streets, utilities, soil types, etc.	*plan showing contours, existing and proposed structures, streets, utilities, soil types, etc.		slopes, based on 3 contiguous 2-ft contours, their areas calculated for each lot and proposed road; existing buildings and streets
	*cross section showing channel of river	*cross section showing channel of river		
	*profile of channel bottom slope of stream flow line	*profile of channel bottom slope of stream flow line		
	*specifications of building materials	*specifications of building materials		
	*Special Exceptions or Variances must be anchored	*Special Exceptions or Variances must be anchored		

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
	*Special Exceptions or Variances must prevent flood contamination of utilities, waste treatment must minimize discharges, all need Army Corps approval	*Special Exceptions or Variances must prevent flood contamination of utilities, waste treatment must minimize discharges, all need Army Corps approval		
Req'ts for Special Exceptions or Variances	list of considerations include: effects on waterway, upstream and downstream uses, general public welfare, prevention of disease, damage on the subject property, important community services; floodproofing; danger of materials swept onto other property; importance of waterfront location for the use; availability of alternative locations; etc.	list of considerations Include: effects on waterway, upstream and downstream uses, general public welfare, prevention of disease, damage on the subject property, important community services; floodproofing; danger of materials swept onto other property; importance of waterfront location for the use; availability of alternative locations; etc.		
	no SE or Variance may be granted for anything that will increase the 100 year flood level	no SE or Variance may be granted for anything that will increase the 100 year flood level		
Nonconforming Uses	treated like a regular nonconforming use, however ZHB add previous considerations	treated like a regular nonconforming use, however ZHB add previous considerations		
Siting				least sensitive portion of land to preserve natural elements
Grading				cuts <10 ft, but roads or other public improvements <20 ft; finished slopes up to 3 to 1, unless stabilization and maintenance approved
Grading				grading minimized grading inside dripline of trees to be preserved is prohibited
				grading done in one construction season, covered in winter and spring, permanent vegetation within 3 days of completion of grading

Requirements	District			
	Current Floodplain	Revised Floodplain - pending	Riparian Corridor Conservation draft: August 2015	Steep Slope draft: August 2015
				landscaped to control erosion (assistance from Borough Engineer) excess material removed or integrated into site, conform to natural terrain max road grade = 10%
Technical Provisions		No encroachment, alteration made to watercourse, until municipalities are notified, permits or approvals from DEP technical or scientific data submitted to FEMA for LOMR	Inspection triggered by: 1) subdivision or land development submittal, 2) building permit request 3) change or resumption of nonconforming use is proposed, 4) for compliance with restoration plan, 5) erosion problems, 6) hazardous trees, 7) unauthorized activity discovered	
Management of district			<u>encourage</u> riparian corridor planting, per SaLDO (see below) mitigation measures: increase corridor width to compensate for crossings	
Planting/ Landscaping Requirements			new trees, variety of sizes native trees along streambank preserve and maintain existing trees	do not remove tree >8" in diameter maintain most natural state
SaLDO provisions			planting requirements: 1 tree per 15 feet or per 225 square ft, variety of sizes, natives, along streambank, existing trees in Zone 1 to remain existing trees in Zone 1 to remain, tree survey and inventory	

Salary & Personnel Committee

The Committee Meeting was held on October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Borough Council Chambers located at 131 Rosemary Avenue Committee Members: Claudio Zaccone (Chair), Pete Amento, Edward Curtis and Karen Polesir . Absent: Karen Polesir, Sharon Mc Cormick and Claudio Zaccone.

The Committee will make no recommendations.

The following business will be discussed.

1. The EAC has a vacancy, resumes are being accepted.
2. An Executive Session is required.

Recommendations

Public Safety Committee

1. Recommend authorization to sign radio request documents for emergency services and participate in the County interest free funding program

Parks and Recreation

Public Utilities Committee

1. Recommend approval of an agreement to permit Whitpain to place radio antenna(s) and equipment on and in the water tower at Houston Road.
2. A recommendation is made to authorize advertisement of a 30-day public comment period and a public meeting date, which will take place at one of the November Committee/Council meetings, on the MS4 (Municipal Stormwater) Total Maximum Daily Load Strategy. [The strategy will be completed by 10/24 and will be provided.]

Finance and Planning Committee

1. Recommend the September 2015 invoices be paid in the amount of \$1,047,230.63.
2. Recommend approval of the Preliminary / Final Subdivision Plan for PFP Management, LLC - 368 Fairview Avenue proposed 3 lots, with the condition that all of the Engineer requirements are met and that the sidewalk installation be discussed after an opinion is received from PennDot.

Salary and Personnel Committee